



# PROTOCOL

For antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter*

---

1	INTRODUCTION .....	1
2	OBJECTIVES .....	2
3	OUTLINE OF THE EC/SALM/CAMP EQAS 2020 .....	2
	3.1 Shipping, receipt and storage of strains .....	2
	3.2 QC reference strains .....	2
	3.3 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing .....	3
4	REPORTING OF RESULTS AND EVALUATION .....	6
5	HOW TO SUBMIT RESULTS VIA THE WEBTOOL .....	7
	APPENDIX .....	9

---

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The organisation and implementation of an External Quality Assurance System (EQAS) on antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) of *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* is among the tasks of the EU Reference Laboratory for Antimicrobial Resistance (EURL-AR). The current EQAS 2020 will include AST of eight *E. coli*, *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* strains and AST of reference strains *E. coli* ATCC 25922 (CCM 3954), *Acinetobacter baumannii* 2012-70-100-69 (EURL-AR QC-strain), *Campylobacter jejuni* ATCC 33560 (CCM 6214) and *Campylobacter coli* 2012-70-443-2 (EURL-AR QC-strain).

The reference strains are included in the parcel only for new participants of the EQAS who did not receive them previously. The ATCC reference strains are original CERTIFIED cultures provided free of charge, and should be used for future internal quality control for antimicrobial susceptibility testing in your laboratory. The EURL-AR QC-strains are provided for the purpose of additional QC of the broth microdilution plates. The reference strains will not be included in the years to come and we therefore encourage you to take proper care of these strains for example by handling and maintaining them as suggested in the manual 'Subculture and Maintenance of QC Strains' available on the EURL-AR website (see [www.eurl-ar.eu](http://www.eurl-ar.eu)).



Various aspects of the proficiency test scheme may from time to time be subcontracted. When subcontracting occurs it is placed with a competent subcontractor and the National Food Institute is responsible to the scheme participants for the subcontractor's work.

## 2 OBJECTIVES

This EQAS aims to support laboratories to assess and, if necessary, to improve the quality of results obtained by AST of pathogens of food- and animal-origin, with special regard to *E. coli*, *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter*. Further objectives are to evaluate and improve the comparability of surveillance data on antimicrobial susceptibility of *E. coli*, *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* reported to EFSA by different laboratories.

## 3 OUTLINE OF THE EC/SALM/CAMP EQAS 2020

### 3.1 Shipping, receipt and storage of strains

In October 2020, the National Reference Laboratories for Antimicrobial Resistance (NRL-AR) will receive a parcel containing eight *E. coli*, eight *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* strains from the National Food Institute. This parcel will also contain reference strains, but only for participants who did not receive them previously.

All strains belong to UN3373, Biological substance, category B. Extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing strains as well as carbapenemase producing strains are included in the selected material. It is the recipients' responsibility to comply with national legislation, rules and regulation regarding the correct use and handling of the provided strains and to possess the proper equipment and protocols to handle these strains.

The *E. coli* and *Salmonella* test strains are shipped as stab cultures, the *Campylobacter* test strains are shipped as a charcoal swabs and the reference strains are shipped lyophilised. Upon arrival to your laboratory, the strains should be stored in a dark place at 4°C for stabs and charcoal swabs, and in a dark and cool place for freeze-dried strains. Charcoal swabs must be subcultured immediately upon arrival. A suggested procedure for reconstitution of the lyophilised reference strains is presented below.

### 3.2 QC reference strains

For a suggested procedure for reconstitution of the lyophilised, please refer to the document 'Instructions for opening and reviving lyophilised cultures' on the EURL-AR-website (see [www.eurl-ar.eu](http://www.eurl-ar.eu)).

Note that, for the testing of the *E. coli* ATCC25922 reference strain, the two compounds, sulfamethoxazole and sulfisoxazole, are regarded as comparable, i.e. the obtained MIC-value from



the testing of sulfamethoxazole will be evaluated against the acceptance range listed in CLSI M100 for sulfisoxazole.

### 3.3 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Participants should perform minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) determination using the methods stated in the Commission Implementing Decision 2013/652/EU (international reference method ISO standard 20776-1:2006). **Results should be produced according to the laboratory's routine procedures for antimicrobial susceptibility testing by MIC determination.** For interpretation of the results, please use the cut-off values listed in Tables 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 in this document. These values (except where indicated) represent the current epidemiological cut-off values developed by EUCAST ([www.eucast.org](http://www.eucast.org)), and allow categorisation of bacterial isolates into two categories: resistant and susceptible. A categorisation as intermediate is not accepted.

As the current regulation and recommendations focus on broth microdilution testing only, results obtained by other methods cannot be submitted for evaluation.

#### Beta-lactam and carbapenem resistance

**Confirmatory tests for ESBL/AmpC/Carbapenemase production are mandatory** on all strains resistant to cefotaxime (FOT), ceftazidime (TAZ) and/or meropenem (MERO) and should be performed by testing the second panel of antimicrobials (Table 2 in this document corresponding to Table 4 in Commission Implementing Decision 2013/652/EU).

Confirmatory test for AmpC-, ESBL- and carbapenemase production requires use of both cefotaxime (FOT) and ceftazidime (TAZ) alone and in combination with a  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitor (clavulanic acid). Synergy is defined as i) a  $\geq 3$  twofold concentration decrease in an MIC for either antimicrobial agent tested in combination with clavulanic acid vs. the MIC of the agent when tested alone (MIC FOT:FOT/Cl or TAZ:TAZ/Cl ratio  $\geq 8$ ) (CLSI M100 Table 3A, Tests for ESBLs). The presence of synergy indicates ESBL production.

Confirmatory test for carbapenemase production requires the testing of meropenem (MERO).

Detection of AmpC-type beta-lactamases can be performed by testing the bacterium for susceptibility to cefoxitin (FOX). Resistance to FOX could indicate the presence of an AmpC-type beta-lactamase.

The classification of the phenotypic beta-lactam resistance results should be based on the most recent EFSA recommendations (see appendix to this protocol). It is important to notice that two cut-off values apply for cefotaxime and ceftazidime: the EUCAST cut-off values, those that define R/S (see Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4), and the screening cut-off values (FOT $>1$  and TAZ $>1$ ) which are those applied to categorise bacterial phenotypes as ESBL, AmpC, carbapenemase, etc., based on panel 2 results (see Appendix).



### 3.3.1 *E. coli*

The interpretative criteria that should be applied for categorizing the *E. coli* test strain as resistant or susceptible are those listed in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1: Antimicrobials recommended for AST of *E. coli* spp. and interpretative criteria according to table 1 in EC regulation 652/2013

Antimicrobial	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) (R>)
Ampicillin (AMP)	8
Azithromycin (AZI)	16*
Cefotaxime (FOT)	0.25
Ceftazidime (TAZ)	0.5
Chloramphenicol (CHL)	16
Ciprofloxacin (CIP)	0.064
Colistin (COL)	2
Gentamicin (GEN)	2
Meropenem (MERO)	0.125
Nalidixic acid (NAL)	8
Sulfonamides (SMX)	64
Tetracycline (TET)	8
Tigecycline (TGC)	0.5
Trimethoprim (TMP)	2

\* Tentative ECOFF

Table 2: Antimicrobials recommended for additional AST of *E. coli* spp. resistant to cefotaxime, ceftazidime or meropenem and interpretative criteria according to table 4 in EC regulation 652/2013

Antimicrobial	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) (R>)
Cefepime, FEP	0.125
Cefotaxime, FOT	0.25
Cefotaxime + clavulanic acid (F/C)	0.25
Cefoxitin, FOX	8
Ceftazidime, TAZ	0.5
Ceftazidime+ clavulanic acid (T/C)	0.5
Ertapenem, ETP	0.064*
Imipenem, IMI	0.5
Meropenem, MERO	0.125
Temocillin, TRM	16

\* Tentative ECOFF



### 3.3.2 Salmonella

The interpretative criteria that should be applied for categorizing the *Salmonella* test strain as resistant or susceptible are those listed in Tables 3 and 4.

Table 3: Antimicrobials recommended for AST of *Salmonella* spp. and interpretative criteria according to table 1 in EC regulation 652/2013

Antimicrobial	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) (R>)
Ampicillin (AMP)	8
Azithromycin (AZI)	16*
Cefotaxime (FOT)	0.5
Ceftazidime (TAZ)	2
Chloramphenicol (CHL)	16
Ciprofloxacin (CIP)	0.064
Colistin (COL)	2*
Gentamicin (GEN)	2
Meropenem (MERO)	0.125
Nalidixic acid (NAL)	8
Sulfonamides (SMX)	256*
Tetracycline (TET)	8
Tigecycline (TGC)	1*
Trimethoprim (TMP)	2

\* Tentative ECOFF

Table 4: Antimicrobials recommended for additional AST of *Salmonella* spp. resistant to cefotaxime, ceftazidime or meropenem and interpretative criteria according to table 4 in EC regulation 652/2013

Antimicrobial	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) (R>)
Cefepime, FEP	0.125*
Cefotaxime, FOT	0.5
Cefotaxime + clavulanic acid (F/C)	Not applicable
Cefoxitin, FOX	8
Ceftazidime, TAZ	2
Ceftazidime+ clavulanic acid (T/C)	Not applicable
Ertapenem, ETP	0.06*
Imipenem, IMI	1
Meropenem, MERO	0.125
Temocillin, TRM	16*

\* Tentative ECOFF



### 3.3.3 *Campylobacter*

The interpretative criteria that should be applied for categorizing the *Campylobacter* test strain as resistant or susceptible are those listed in Table 5.

The obtained values of the *C. jejuni* QC reference strain will be evaluated according to the values listed in the CLSI document VET06, 1<sup>st</sup> ed., i.e. based on incubation at 36-37°C for 48 hours or 42°C for 24 hours.

Table 5: Antimicrobials recommended for AST of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* and interpretative criteria according to table 2 in EC regulation 652/2013

Antimicrobial	<i>C. jejuni</i>	<i>C. coli</i>
	MIC (µg/mL) (R>)	MIC (µg/mL) (R>)
Ciprofloxacin (CIP)	0.5	0.5
Erythromycin (ERY)	4	8
Gentamicin (GEN)	2	2
Nalidixic acid (NAL)	16	16
Streptomycin (STR)	4	4
Tetracycline (TET)	1	2

#### Identification of *Campylobacter* species

Species identification of the *Campylobacter* test strains must be performed by the NRLs using in-house methods or adopting the protocol available on the EURL-AR website under: <http://eurl-ar.eu/233-protocols.htm>.

## 4 REPORTING OF RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Test forms are available for recording your results before you enter them into the web tool.

We recommend reading carefully the web tool manual before submitting your results.

**Results must be submitted no later than December 11<sup>th</sup> 2020.**

After the deadline, when all participants have uploaded results, you will be able to login to the webtool once again to view and print an automatically generated report evaluating your results. Results in agreement with the expected interpretation are categorised as 'correct', while results deviating from the expected interpretation are categorised as 'incorrect'.

All results will be summarized in a report which will be publicly available. The data in the report will be presented with laboratory codes. A laboratory code is known to the individual laboratory,



whereas the complete list of laboratories and their codes is confidential and known only to the EURL-AR and the EU Commission. All conclusions will be public.

If you have questions, please do not hesitate to contact the EQAS Coordinator:

Susanne Karlsmosse Pedersen  
National Food Institute,  
Technical University of Denmark  
Kemitorvet, Building 204, DK-2800 Lyngby  
Denmark  
Tel: +45 3588 6601  
E-mail: [suska@food.dtu.dk](mailto:suska@food.dtu.dk)

## 5 HOW TO SUBMIT RESULTS VIA THE WEBTOOL

The 'guideline for submission of results via webtool' is available for download directly from the EURL-AR website (<https://www.eurl-ar.eu/eqas.aspx>).

Access the webtool using this address: <https://amr-eqas.dtu.dk>. Please follow the guideline carefully and **remember to access the webtool via an 'incognito' website**.

When you submit your results, remember to have by your side the completed test forms.

Do not hesitate to contact us if you experience difficulties with the webtool.

Before finally submitting your input for *E. coli*, *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter*, respectively, please ensure that you have filled in all the relevant fields as **you can only 'finally submit' once for each organism!** 'Final submit' blocks data entry.

⇒ About login to the webtool:

When first given access to login to the webtool, your **personal** loginID and password were sent to you by email. This is relevant for two email addresses connected to each NRL-AR (the EURL-AR defined a primary and a secondary contact).

Note that:

- a) If the EURL-AR has only one contact person for an NRL, this person is registered both as primary and secondary contact. Should you like to add another person as the secondary contact, please contact [suska@food.dtu.dk](mailto:suska@food.dtu.dk)
- b) If your laboratory has two or more contact points on the EURL-AR contact list, two have been defined as the primary and secondary contact. Should you like to make changes to the primary and secondary contact or should you like more than the two persons to be able to access the webtool, please contact [suska@food.dtu.dk](mailto:suska@food.dtu.dk).

## EU Reference Laboratory for Antimicrobial Resistance External Quality Assurance System (EQAS) 2020

**DTU Food**  
National Food Institute



All participants registered with an account in the submission webtool will receive a separate email presenting the relevant personal username and password. The email will be sent by the time when the webtool has gone through internal quality control and has been approved for user access. The EQAS Coordinator will let all participants know when to look out for it.

--- --- ---





## APPENDIX

### Criteria for interpretation of *E. coli* and *Salmonella*, panel 2 results

<p><b>1. ESBL-Phenotype</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- FOT or TAZ &gt; 1 mg/L AND</li> <li>- MERO ≤ 0.12 mg/L AND</li> <li>- FOX ≤ 8 mg/L AND</li> <li>- SYN FOT/CLV and/or TAZ/CLV</li> </ul>	<p><b>2. AmpC-Phenotype</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- FOT or TAZ &gt; 1 mg/L AND</li> <li>- MERO ≤ 0.12 mg/L AND</li> <li>- FOX &gt; 8 mg/L AND</li> <li>- No SYN FOT/CLV nor TAZ/CLV</li> <li>- (Not excluded presence of ESBLs)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>3. ESBL + AmpC-Phenotype</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- FOT or TAZ &gt; 1 mg/L AND</li> <li>- MERO ≤ 0.12 mg/L AND</li> <li>- FOX &gt; 8 mg/L AND</li> <li>- SYN FOT/CLV and/or TAZ/CLV</li> </ul>	<p><b>4. Carbapenemase-Phenotype</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MERO &gt; 0.12 mg/L</li> <li>- Needs confirmation</li> <li>- (Not excluded presence of ESBLs or AmpC)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Susceptible</b></p> <p>FOT-TAZ-FOX-MEM ≤ ECOFF</p>
<p><b>5. Other phenotypes</b></p> <p>1) If FOT or TAZ &gt; 1 mg/ml AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MEM ≤ 0.12 mg/L AND</li> <li>- FOX ≤ 8 mg/L AND</li> <li>- NO SYN FOT/CLV nor TAZ/CLV</li> <li>- Not excluded CPs (consult EURL)</li> </ul> <p>2) If FOT and/or TAZ ≤ 1 mg/L AND &gt; ECOFF AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MERO ≤ 0.12 mg/L</li> <li>- FOX ≤ 8 mg/L</li> </ul> <p>3) If FOT and TAZ ≤ 1 mg/L</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MERO ≤ 0.12 mg/L</li> <li>- FOX &gt; 8 mg/L</li> <li>*cAmpCs could be included here</li> </ul> <p>4) If MERO ≤ 0.12 mg/L BUT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- ETP &gt; ECOFF AND/OR</li> <li>- IMI &gt; ECOFF</li> <li>- Not excluded CPs, needs confirmation (consult EURL)</li> </ul> <p>5) Any other combinations not described in previous boxes (consult EURL)</p>		

Please refer to: EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) and ECDC (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control), 2020. The European Union summary report on antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and indicator bacteria from humans, animals and food in 2017/2018. EFSA Journal 2020;18 (3). <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6007> (Annex A).